



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,212	12/13/2001	Richard Wodzianek	034300-168	1206
7590 01/31/2006			EXAM	MINER
Robert E. Krel	os		SHIFERAW	, ELENI A
Thelen Reid & 1	Priest LLP			
P.O. Box 640640			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, CA 95164-0640			2136	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004	ć

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Exercision of term may be available under the provision of 37 PFR 1.13(6). In sea event, and y a reply be timely filled If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wit spely and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure for grow which the set or excented aperiod for reply will, by status, cause the application to section Associated and the section of the communication, even if sinely filed, may reduce any counter plants than adjustment. Set 97 FFR 1.174(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 17 November 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is objected to be the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3) All by Carliffed copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Eleni A. Shiferaw 2136		10/017,212	WODZIANEK ET AL.				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of them may be available under the provisions of 30 FE1 13(6). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled in the communication of 10 feb. 10 fe	Uπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exercisions of time may be available under the periodisme of 37 CPR 1-130(a). In no event, however, may a reigh be timely litted. - Exercision of time may be available under the periodisme of 37 CPR 1-130(a). In no event, however, may a reigh be timely litted. - Exercision of time may be available under the periodisme of 37 CPR 1-130(a). In no event, however, may a reigh be timely litted. - Exercised to the Children of the periodisme of 37 CPR 1-130(a). In no event, however, may a reigh be timely litted. - Fallore to reply whithin the soft or ortended period for reply will, by existing, date of this communication. - Fallore to reply whithin the soft or ortended period for reply will, by existing, date of this communication, even if timely fined, may reduce any accordance parameter term department. See 37 CPR 1-740(b). - Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 17 November 2005. - Za) This action is FINAL. - Zb) This action is FINAL. - Zb) This action is FINAL. - Zb) This action is replaced to the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) 1-27 is/are allowed. - Claim(s) 1-27 is/are allowed. - Claim(s) 1-27 is/are allowed. - Claim(s) 1-27 is/are objected to. - Claim(s) 1-27 is/are objected to. - Claim(s) 1-27 is/are objected to by the Examiner. - Olimin(s) is/are allowed. - Claim(s) 1-27 is/are objected to by the Examiner. - Application Papers - Disposition of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. - Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CPR 1.121(d). - The each of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. - Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 - Acknowledgm							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elemenions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 FR 113(6), in no event, however, may a regity be timely filled aller SIX (8) MCMTHS from the making date of this communication. It is set in the provision of the above claim(s)	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
1)	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * ○ ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Triormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1 International Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5806) 9 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No.	ovember 200 <u>5</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
Al) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper Notice William Statement(s) (PTO-1499 or PTO/SB/08)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)Mail Date Paper No(s)Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.						
S) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Application Papers 9	•	·					
9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,_ ,_ ,_						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-144-3 of 110-10-10-10-0)	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						

Application/Control Number: 10/017,212 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments/amendments with respect to claims 1-27, filed on November 17, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner would like to point out that this action is made final (MPEP 706.07a).

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant argues that:
 - a. Independent claim 1 is not taught by Bialick to include "checking a wireless network card for a stored platform discrimination indication, depending on a value of the platform discrimination indication inhibiting or allowing data transfer using the wireless network card."
 - b. Bialick fails to disclose independent claims 10 and 27 wherein "using an input electronic ID of a wireless network card to determine a first key value, using a first key value to calculate a calculated ID value, and comparing a calculated ID value to an electronic ID of the wireless network card."
 - c. Bialick fails to teach, independent claim 27, wherein "modifying the platform discrimination indication in the wireless network card to enable data transfer using the wireless network card."
 - d. There is no teaching in Okada for independent claim 22 wherein "a platform discrimination indication allowing a wireless network card to be used with wither a restricted set or expanded set of portable devices."

Art Unit: 2136

e. Dependent claims 2-9, 11-21, and 23-26 are allowable based upon their dependency on allowable claims 1, 10, 22, and 27.

However, Examiner disagrees with applicant.

Regarding argument (a), Argument is not persuasive. Bialick discloses inserting a modular device/Network Interface Card/NIC into a drive of host-computing device/notebook/laptop and the host-computing device detecting the NIC and once the NIC is detected identifying the type of the NIC, (type of the NIC is identified to check/determine whether the card inserted can be used with a given type of host-computing device), and performing security association to exchange data between the NIC and host-computing device (col. 9 lines 45-col. 10 lines 10, col. 2 lines 32-47, and col. 13 lines 11-61).

Regarding argument (b), Argument is not persuasive. Bialick teaches host-computing device accessing identification data/serial number/electronic ID of the inserted NIC (col. 11 lines 32-58), based on the identified identification data determining the access code/PIN/cryptographic key/password by requiring the user to enter the access code (col. 14 lines 3-51, and col. 18 lines 48-59), calculating/wrapping/encrypting key to provide a calculated/encrypted key value and/or generating/comparing a hash/message digest/digital signature (col. 21 lines 34-50).

Regarding argument (c), Argument is not persuasive. Bialick discloses modifying the Network interface card key to transmit data to a restricted and/or a given type of portable data device (col. 21 lines 39-43 and col. 6 lines 46-53).

Page 4

Regarding argument (d), Argument is not persuasive. Bialick teaches checking a wireless network card for a stored platform discrimination indication for a type of wireless network card (i.e. if the card can be used with a given type of portable data device, it is restricted to that given type of device) to transfer/exchange data between the card and portable device (col. 9 lines 45-col. 10 lines 10). Okada discloses a wireless network card/PCMCIA with a discrimination indication data stored on the card to control access/restriction (col. 2 lines 53-55, col. 6 lines 24-36, and col. 7 lines 51-67). Sufficient motivation to combine Okada and Bialick is provided on the first Office Action.

Regarding argument (e), examiner disagrees with applicant. Based on the arguments set forth by the examiner for arguments (a)-(d), the dependent claims stand rejected.

The examiner is not trying to teach the invention but is merely trying to interpret the claim language in its broadest and reasonable meaning. Therefore, the examiner asserts that the system of the prior art, Bialick and Okada do teach or suggest the subject matter as recited in independent claims 1, 10, 22, and 27. Dependent claims 2-9, 11-21, and 23-26 are also rejected at least by virtue of their dependency on independent claims and by

other reason set forth in this office action dated January 24, 2006. Accordingly, rejections for claims 1-27 are respectfully maintained.

Rejections

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1-8, 10-14, 16-18, 20-21, and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bialick et al. (Bialick Patent Number: 6,003,135).

As per claim 1, Bialick teaches a method comprising: in a portable data device (fig. 3B element 311), checking a wireless network card for a stored platform discrimination indication (col. 2 lines 32-47, col. 9 lines 45-col. 10 lines 10, and col. 13 lines11-61); and depending on the value of the platform discrimination indication, inhibiting or allowing data transfer using the wireless network card (col. 6 lines 46-lines 53, col. 10 lines 26-49, and fig. 5 No. 5).

As per claim 10, Bialick teaches a method comprising: at a first device (fig. 3B element 311), using an input electronic ID of a wireless network card (col. 11 lines 32-58) to determine a first key value (col. 18 lines 48-59, col. 14 lines 3-18, and col. 21 lines 28-50); at a portable data device not the first device (col. 18 lines 57-59), using the first key value to calculate a calculated ID value18 lines (col. 18 lines 48-59, col. 21 lines 28-50); and at the portable data device, comparing the calculated ID value to the electronic ID of the wireless network card so that if the

calculated ID value matches the electronic ID of the wireless network card data transmissions from the portable data device through the wireless network card are enabled (col. 6 lines 46-53, and col. 21 lines 39-43).

As per claim 27, Bialick teaches a wireless network card software driver for a portable data device (fig. 3B element 311 and 312), the wireless network card software driver adapted to implement the steps of: checking wireless network card for platform discrimination indication (col. 2 lines 32-47, col. 9 lines 45-col. 10 lines 10, and col. 13 lines11-61); using platform discrimination indication to determine whether to enable data transfer using the wireless network card (col. 6 lines 46-lines 53, col. 10 lines 26-49, and fig. 5 No. 5); if data transfer not enabled (col. 13 lines 31-34), prompting user for key value (col. 14 lines 3-18); using key value to determine a calculated ID value (col. 21 lines 39-43); comparing the calculated ID value with ID value obtained from wireless network card; if calculated ID value matches ID value obtained from wireless network card, modifying platform discrimination indication in wireless network card to enable data transfer using the wireless network card (col. 6 lines 46-53, col. 6 lines 45-53, and col. 21 lines 39-43).

As per claim 2, Bialick teaches the method, wherein the portable data device is a notebook computer (col. 1 lines 29-33).

As per claim 3, Bialick teaches the method, wherein if the data transfer is inhibited, the portable data device requests an upgrade key value (col. 13 lines 31-34, col. 14 lines 3-18).

As per claim 4, Bialick teaches the method, wherein if an upgrade key (PIN/access key) value is provided by a user, the portable data device calculates a unique calculated I.D. value (col. 14 lines 3-18, col. 21 lines 28-50; key encryption decryption exchange is introduced).

As per claim 5, Bialick teaches the method, wherein the calculated I.D. value is compared to a unique electronic I.D. value stored in the wireless network card (col. 21 lines 39-50; digital signature/hash is suggested).

As per claim 6, Bialick teaches the method, wherein if the calculated I.D. value matches the electronic I.D. value of the wireless network card, transmissions from the portable computer to the wireless network card are enabled (col. 21 lines 39-50).

As per claim 7, Bialick teaches the method, wherein the platform discrimination indicates the value in the wireless network card is modified when transmissions are enabled (col. 10 lines 26-49, fig. 5 element 5).

As per claim 8, Bialick teaches the method, wherein the key value is obtained by providing the unique electronic 1.D. value of the wireless network card to a program that calculates the key value (col. 21 lines 39-50).

As per claim 11, Bialick teaches the method, wherein the first key is a platform activator key

Art Unit: 2136

(col. 14 lines 3-18).

As per claim 12, Bialick teaches the method, wherein when the calculated I.D. value matches the

Page 8

electronic I.D. value of the wireless network card, a platform discrimination indication on the

wireless network card is modified (col. 21 lines 39-50, col. 10 lines 26-49, and fig. 5 element 5).

As per claim 13, Bialick teaches the method, wherein the platform discrimination indication is

checked before transmitting from the wireless network card using one type of portable data

device (col. 2 lines 32-47, and col. 9 lines 45-col. 10 lines 10).

As per claim 14, Bialick teaches the method, wherein other types of portable data devices do not

require a check of the platform discrimination indication before operation (col. 13 lines 38-61).

As per claim 16, Bialick teaches the method, wherein the production of the key value is done

using an encryption algorithm (col. 21 lines 44-50).

As per claim 17, Bialick teaches the method, wherein the production of the calculated I.D. value

is done using a decryption algorithm (col. 21 lines 13-50).

As per claim 18, Bialick teaches the method, wherein the portable data device includes a device

driver (fig. 3B element 311).

As per claim 20, Bialick teaches the method, wherein the electronic I.D. value is stored on the wireless network card (col. 11 lines 32-58).

As per claim 21, Bialick teaches the method, in which a personal computer obtains the electronic I.D. from the wireless network card electronically (col. 11 lines 32-58).

As per claim 26 Bialick teaches the wireless network card wherein the user takes an electronic I.D. of the wireless network card (col. 11 lines 32-58), uses the electronic I.D. of the wireless network card to produce a first key value (col. 18 lines 48-59), this first key value is then used by the personal portable data device to calculate a calculated I.D. (col. 21 lines 28-50), if the calculated I.D. matches the electronic I.D. of the wireless network card, the platform discrimination indication is modified to allow the wireless network card to operate with the expanded set of portable data devices (col. 6 lines 46-53, col. 6 lines

45-53, and col. 21 lines 39-43).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 9 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Bialick et al. (Bialick Patent Number: 6,003,135) in view of Okada et al. (Patent No.: US 6,374,315 B1).

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 9, Bialick teaches the method, wherein the platform discrimination indication determines whether the wireless network card can be used with the given type of portable data device (col. 2 lines 32-47, col. 9 lines 45-col. 10, col. 14 lines 24-25),

Bialick teaches checking a wireless network card for a stored platform discrimination indication for a type of wireless network card and transfer data. Bialick does not explicitly teach one value of the platform discrimination indication allowing the wireless network card to be used with a restricted set of the portable data devices, another value of the platform discrimination indication allowing the use of the wireless network card with an expanded set of portable data devices, the expanded set of portable data devices including the restricted set of portable data devices, as well as additional portable data devices not included in the restricted set of portable data devices. However Okada teaches a wireless network card/PCMCIA with a discrimination indication data stored on the card to allow the external storage device to be controlled by the host computer (col. 2 lines 53-55, col. 6 lines 24-36, and col. 7 lines 51-67).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teachings of Okada within the system of Bialick because they are analogous in wireless network card data transfer (fig. 2 no. 62 and 10). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to modify the teachings of Okada within the system of Bialick because it'd restrict/discriminate the wireless network card to be used in certain wireless devices in order to charge the notebook user less amount (for less traffic) than laptop user.

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 22, Bialick teaches a wireless network card for use with portable data devices (fig. 3B element 311 and 312), the wireless network card including a stored platform discrimination indication (col. 2 lines 32-47, col. 9 lines 45-col. 10 lines 10, and col. 13 lines 11-61),

Bialick teaches checking a wireless network card for a stored platform discrimination indication for a type of wireless network card and transfer data. Bialick does not explicitly teach the value of the platform discrimination indication determining whether the wireless network card can be used with a given type of portable data device, one value of the platform discrimination indication allowing the wireless network card to be used with a restricted set of portable data devices, another value of the platform discrimination indication allowing the use of the wireless network card with an expanded set of portable data devices, the expanded set of portable data devices including the restricted set of portable data devices as well as additional portable data devices not included in the restricted set of portable data devices.

However Okada teaches a wireless network card/PCMCIA with a discrimination indication data stored on the card to allow the external storage device to be controlled by the host computer (col. 2 lines 53-55, col. 6 lines 24-36, and col. 7 lines 51-67).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teachings of Okada within the system of Bialick because they are analogous in wireless network card data transfer (fig. 2 no. 62 and 10). One skilled in the art would have been motivated to modify the teachings of Okada within the system of Bialick because it'd restrict/discriminate the wireless network card to be used in certain wireless devices in order to charge the notebook user less amount (for less traffic) than laptop user.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,212 Page 12

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 23, Bialick and Okada teach subject matter as described above. In addition Okada teaches the wireless network card wherein the restricted set of portable data devices includes a personal digital assistant but does not include notebook computers (col. 2 lines 53-55, col. 6 lines 24-36, and col. 7 lines 51-67). The rational for combining are the same as claim 22 above.

As per claim 24, Bialick and Okada teach subject matter as described above. In addition Okada teaches the wireless network card wherein the expanded set of portable data devices includes notebook computers (col. 2 lines 53-55, col. 6 lines 24-36, and col. 7 lines 51-67). The rational for combining are the same as claim 22 above.

As per claim 25, Bialick and Okada teach subject matter as described above. In addition Okada teaches the wireless network card in which the platform discrimination indication can be upgraded from the restricted set of portable data devices to the expanded set (col. 2 lines 53-55, col. 6 lines 24-36, and col. 7 lines 51-67). The rational for combining are the same as claim 22 above.

6. Claims 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Bialick et al. (Bialick Patent Number: 6,003,135) in view of Alexander et al. (Alexander Patent Number: 6,134,593).

Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 15, Bialick teaches all the subject matter as described above.

Bialick fail to explicitly teach the method, in which fees are charged when the first device provides the first key value.

However Alexander teaches the method which fees are charged when the key value is provided (Abstract; fees are charged when a user transmits a computing device identifier). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the teachings of Alexander within the combination system of Bialick because it would allow to process payment and grant access to software applications.

As per claim 19, Bialick and Alexander teach all the subject matter as described above. In addition, Alexander teaches the method, in which a cell service provider is used to provide the first key value (Abstract).

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eleni A. Shiferaw whose telephone number is 571-272-3867. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Col Primary Examiner AVVI31 1/26/06

E.S. 34/06

Page 14